

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/767,483	01/28/2004	K. Ranji Vaidyanathan	003248.00093	003248.00093 5060	
22908	7590 09/27/2006		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			AFZALI, SARANG		
SUITE 3000	WACKER DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3726		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

NIT

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/767,483	VAIDYANATHAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Sarang Afzali	3726	

Advisory Action	10/767,483	VAIDYANATHAN ET	AL.
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sarang Afzali	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 September 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	· ·	is the final rejection, whi	iahovoria lotor In
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 27-31</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the date of filing a N	ation of Annual will no	ut ha antarad
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	red.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	Nous France	
	SUPERV	SORY PATENT EX	AMINER
		9/19/06	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1 in particular the limitation of simultaneously "co-extruding" a first composition and a second composition to form one or more 'two-component" filaments and the amendment to claim 2 in particular the omission of limitation "extruding the one or more filaments" as a mechanical processing means changes the scope of the claims and therefore, raises new issues that would require further consideration and search.